

“The Truth Shall Set You Free”

Part 17

We are hearing reports from the Vatican of what is being referred to as a “Climate of fear within” the walls of the Vatican. The following is a report by Vatican watchers, from ‘LifeSiteNews’ that makes work trips to the Vatican twice a year. I share this report because it reveals an image of Pope Francis behind the public face what appears to be much different.

A December 16, 2016 (‘LifeSiteNews’) states – “Our Nov. 16-23 visit to Rome was the most dramatic of many such twice-per-year work trips we have taken there during the past 10 years. After meeting with cardinals, bishops and other Vatican agency and dicastery staff, John-Henry Westen, our new Rome reporter, Jan Bentz, and I saw a consistent pattern of widespread anxiety and very real fear among faithful Church servants. We have never encountered this before.

Many were afraid of being removed from their positions, fired from their jobs in Vatican agencies or of encountering severe public or private reprimands and personal accusations from those around the pope or even from Francis himself. They are also fearful and anxious about the great damage being done to the Church and being helpless to stop it.

Near the end of our visit, one very high-level cleric confirmed our observations. He added, “One can sense the fear. It is tangible.” Another, who has always been willing to discuss difficult situations, immediately told us that he would not talk, even off the record, in confidence, about any of the current controversies. We were told not to ask him any questions about these things. By the end of that visit we were able to broach one of the controversies and the important information unknown to him was appreciated.

The release of the dubia letter by the now known to be six brave cardinals, quietly supported by at least 20 to 30 other cardinals, has clearly sparked a heightened atmosphere of intimidation and fear in the Vatican.

On Nov. 23 LSN journalist Pete Baklinski reported that Bishop Athanasius Schneider said he had “great astonishment” for what he called the “unusually violent and intolerant” nature of the backlash, adding that such reaction runs contrary to the Pope’s call for “dialogue and acceptance of a legitimate plurality of opinions.”

The Francis papacy has created a radically different climate in Rome from that of Pope St. John Paul II and Benedict XVI, under whom Rome was a vastly more welcoming place for Catholic Culture of Life warriors such as ourselves.

Another Nov. 23 story reported that Cardinals Burke and Pell were officially removed from the Congregation for Divine Worship and that “the office’s membership was recently gutted, with numerous progressives appointed as replacements.” This has effectively left the strongly

orthodox Cardinal Sarah, the congregation's head, a neutralized, silenced figurehead.

Cardinal Ouellet, head of the Congregation of Bishops, has also been left with little real authority, with progressive, Francis-appointed underlings now having the greater say on who becomes a bishop. The bishops being appointed now are generally tending to be of the most radically liberal views, such as now Cardinals Cupich and Farrell and San Diego Bishop Robert McElroy. And of course, Cardinal Pell has been prevented from continuing his greatly needed reforms of Vatican financial institutions and procedures still rife with corruption (that information comes from an impeccable source).

The Academy for Life, originally personally founded by Pope St. John Paul II and the also saintly Dr. Jerome Lejeune, has been drastically changed and Kasperite bishop, Archbishop Vincenzo Paglia, has been chosen by Pope Francis to be the new head.

The original requirement by St. John Paul II and Dr. Lejeune that members must sign a declaration that they uphold the Church's pro-life teachings has been removed, the mandate of the Academy has been changed to a seamless-garment type that will now include a focus on the environment. The life-long memberships of the many distinguished original members of the Academy have been revoked. It is likely no coincidence that this was done considering that a significant number of those original members, dear friends of John Paul II and Dr. Lejeune, have been among the severest and more capable lay critics of Pope Francis.

Claire Chretien reported on Nov. 23 that Pope Francis has praised the 1960's German moral theologian Bernard Häring, one of the most prominent dissenters from Pope Paul VI's 1968 encyclical Humanae Vitae, for his new morality, which the pope said helped "moral theology to flourish."

Can you imagine the impact of that act by Francis on all those in the Vatican Curia and agencies, and on pastors around the world, who were all taught to strongly defend 'Humanae Vitae' by St. Pope John Paul II and Benedict? What will happen to them now, in the current climate of severe intimidation, if they continue what was natural to them for decades in union with the Catholic magisterial teaching on the issue of contraception – teaching going back to the very beginning of the Church?

In his editorial in the upcoming December issue of LifeSite's 'Faithful Insight' magazine, John-Henry Westen relates more of what we discovered.

"... Catholic universities in Rome are watched and professors' lectures screened to ensure they fall in line with a liberal interpretation of Amoris Laetitia. Clerics are reported to superiors if they are overheard expressing concerns about Pope Francis. Many are afraid to speak openly, even though in the past they were always very willing. Vatican reporters told us they were warned numerous times not to report on the dubia."

It is as though Catholic Rome has turned into a type of Church police state because of what is seen to be a great threat from the dubia letter to certain agendas.

*In a December 13 article on One Peter Five, Vatican commentator Maiké Hickson stated, “I have heard reports **that the Vatican is like an occupied state**. Certain sources I’ve spoken with have a fear that communications with Vatican officials are being monitored; some have even reported suspicious anomalies in their telephone conversations in which, after a dropped call, the audio of the last moments of their conversation has played over and over again on a loop, as though they are hearing a recording. Some individuals who work within the Vatican are advising their contacts on the outside not to share sensitive information via email or their Vatican-issued cell phones. [emphasis added]”*

Hickson goes on to quote respected Vatican correspondent Ed Pentin’s response to a question in an extensive interview in Reginamag.com titled, “Is there a reign of terror in the Vatican?” Pentin responded:

*“The Pope’s reaction, of going so far as to question the [four] cardinals’ mental state, has been read as a manifestation of his own anger at having his agenda taken off course. And instead of taking the four cardinals at their word (they have said they are acting primarily out of charity towards the Holy Father, justice and deep pastoral concern), they are seen as adversaries. **I understand he has also been working behind the scenes to ensure his agenda is not thwarted.** From strategically placed articles in L’Osservatore Romano to equivocations from those who publicly criticized the dubia when asked if the Pope had asked them to do so, **Francis has been acting, as one observer put it, like a “behind-the-scenes political lobbyist.”** In the three weeks after the dubia were published, the Pope gave three interviews to the world’s media, each of them aimed at legitimizing his position while denigrating his critics.*

*Lastly, it’s important to point out that simply by matching facts with words coming from the Pope and his allies, **it’s clear there is significant lying and deceit taking place, as well as calumnies and the besmirching of reputations of those labeled to be “on the right” just because they are publicly critical of Amoris Laetitia, or merely report on such criticism.** It genuinely pains me to say all this, because as a Catholic journalist one doesn’t wish in any way to diminish the Petrine Office, but I feel I have an obligation to report the facts on what is happening. [my emphasis].”*

These are strong words from this always top-notch Vatican reporter who is normally soft-spoken and very mild-mannered.

Contrast the above with the statements made at the time of Cardinal Bergoglio’s election to the Papacy. Cardinal Dolan paints an impressive picture of the first Jesuit pope of history. We see an image that was prepared for public consumption, no doubt. I include Cardinal Dolan of New York who wanted the public to believe this pope was a pope of the people.

I suggest that Rome does not change and time will prove me correct. Confirmation of that fact comes in knowing that Pope Francis is a Jesuit and that speaks literally volumes about the direction Pope Francis is going to take the Roman Catholic Institution.

(VATICAN CITY) -- Pope Francis became the leader of the Catholic Church in March, 2013 bringing to the Vatican a series of firsts: the first pope to choose St. Francis as a namesake, the first Latin American pope, and the first Jesuit pope.

His conversational first words from St. Peter's balcony asked the people to pray for him, a humble greeting that captured many hearts. The messages he has spread since then — those of tolerance, equality, and humanitarianism — made him one of This Week's "game changers" for 2013.

Cardinal Timothy Dolan of New York explained "The Francis effect" in an interview with ABC's George Stephanopoulos.

"This pope has successfully, finally shattered the caricature of the church that his predecessors have tried hard to do. What's that caricature? That the church is kind of mean and dour and always saying no and always telling us what we can't do and always telling us why we should be excluded," Cardinal Dolan said. "He's saying 'Oh no, come on in, the church is about warmth and tenderness.'"

Pope Francis' efforts are clearly working. In a recent ABC News/Washington Post poll, 92 percent of American Catholics have a favorable opinion of the new pope, and 85 percent think he is moving the church in the right direction.

Cardinal Dolan said he knew Pope Francis would make positive change, but said the extent of his impact has been a pleasant surprise.

"What we were after was a good pastor with a track record of solid administration, fatherly warmth, tender care for his sheep, for his people, and boy, we got that on steroids with Pope Francis. He's the world's parish priest," Dolan said.

Pope Francis is a star among both the young and the old, speaking out in new ways that excite believers and nonbelievers alike. Though he holds to the church doctrine, he strives to downplay what he calls the church's obsession with social issues. Pope Francis has made headlines for saying that atheists can go to heaven and when asked about homosexuality, responded, "Who am I to judge?"

"The teaching of the church is a timeless gift, you can't change it, it's ours, we inherit it, we're given it, but the way we gift wrap it, the way we make it more attractive, and more compelling to the world, that can always change, and that's what Francis is saying," Dolan said.

In Pope Francis' first exhortation outlining his vision for the church, he took a critical stance

against capitalism, denouncing society's "idolatry of money" and an economy that kills.

"There's only one God and money ain't it," Dolan agreed.

Archbishop Joseph Kurtz, the new head of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, reinforced that Pope Francis is serious about Catholicism's sacred tradition.

"He is giving us a new zeal, he's giving us new expressions and a new method," Kurtz said. "He is saying the same time-honored, beautiful message of Christ, but in a way that's really touching hearts."

But not everyone is touched. Conservative radio host Rush Limbaugh said the pope's recent criticisms of capitalism sound like "pure Marxism."

Time Magazine, however, is not in the camp of pope critics, with the magazine recognizing Pope Francis' widespread impact by naming him 2013's Person of the Year. And the pope's understated reaction? Most would say it was fitting.



"He doesn't want to be the center of attention. He wants others to be the center," Archbishop Kurtz said. "Gosh, that's a great Francis effect, isn't it?"

Pope Francis was mostly very somber during the November consistory. Steve Jalsevac/LifeSite

In another LifeSite article, we reported Bishop Athanasius' assessment of the deterioration of the situation in Rome: "The reaction to the dubia is a proof of the climate in which we actually live in the Church right now," Bishop Schneider said. "We live in a climate of threats and of denial of dialogue towards a specific group." Schneider went on to say that "dialogue seems to be accepted only if you think like everyone else – that is practically like a regime."

Schneider brought up his experience in Russia, where he was born in the time of the Soviet Union. His parents were sent by Stalin to work camps, or "Gulags," after the Second World War. "If you didn't follow the line of the party, or you questioned it, you couldn't even ask. That is for me a very clear parallel to what is happening now in the reactions to the dubia – questions – of the Cardinals."

After the newly installed cardinal's unsatisfactory answers to two questions from Ed Pentin, I



was given the nod. While briefly prefacing my question, I was suddenly harshly interrupted by a Vatican press official demanding, "Ask the question!" Considering that the preface was brief, the question was about to be asked and there were only a handful of media persons in the room, the interruption was totally uncalled for.

Small press scrum with Cardinal Cupich after recent consistory. Steve Jalsevac is first person in photo on the right. John-Henry Westen was standing next to him. John-Henry Westen/LifeSite

I immediately asked the question regarding the animosity that respectful questioners of Francis have been enduring and to which the cardinal astonishingly responded by denying any knowledge of such a thing occurring. Then, when John-Henry Westen put up his hand to ask a question, a spontaneous rule was announced by the press official. He refused to allow John-Henry's question saying that only one question was permitted from each agency.

That sudden ruling caused an uncomfortable stir among the several other media representatives. Four in a row were now also not permitted to ask their questions because of the new rule. When the last one was denied, Cardinal Cupich said, "Why not, since yours is a friendly question?" And so that softball question was permitted.

The growing hostility to faithful media who dare to simply question with respect the actions or statements of those around Pope Francis or of the pope himself was especially highlighted in a December 7 Reuters report.

Reuters stated, "Using precise psychological terms," Pope Francis "said scandal-mongering media risked falling prey to coprophilia, or arousal from excrement, and consumers of these media risked coprophagia, or eating excrement."

So now, if the translation is correct, as most of these usually are, if we dare to see and report what are obviously newsworthy developments that do not reflect well on the pope or his close collaborators, we are "scandal-mongering," "eating excrement" and being sexually aroused by this excrement of reporting uncomfortable truth.

How can a pope, the Vicar of Christ, make such vile accusations? Whatever happened to, "Who am I to judge?"

This article could go on and on, with many more examples, such as our report that retired Roman Catholic Greek bishop Frangiskos Papamanolis ripped the four cardinals for committing the sins of "apostasy" and "scandal," saying they receive Holy Communion "sacrilegiously" for raising concerns about the pope's document. Then there was very liberal Cardinal Cupich's response that the four holy cardinals are "in need of conversion".

Every day it seems there is more and worse hostility being orchestrated against any who would dare to respectfully support the faithful dubia cardinals, who would dare to respectfully question statements and actions of Pope Francis, and who would dare to mention the crystal clear teachings of Christ's moral absolutes, wonderfully and thoroughly expounded by Pope St. John Paul II in Familiaris Consortio and Veritatis Splendor.

It is deeply, deeply concerning. The common phrase we kept hearing that week in Rome is that there is a "war" going on in the Church – a war of the "The Spirit of Vatican II" progressives against the orthodox Catholics. One person after another shockingly used that word.

If one truly investigates the Vatican and is willing to accept the facts, the inescapable reality becomes clear.

The question of whether the Vatican is good or evil is again dealt with by researcher Frank O'Collins.

This time O'Collins deals with the Vatican's evil religious ritual known as "Holocaust, the evil religious ritual word known as "Molest" and "Molestation" and how the word "Nazi" means 'knight' as well as "SS" standing for Sedes Sacrorum or the "Holy See".

Further, he looks at the largest satanic symbol ever created in WWII involving the "worst human sacrifice camps" in Poland and Russia.

Here is what O'Collins has to say:

"Is the Vatican really the center of a Global Evil Network?—why the strongest proof is hidden in plain sight"

If there is one thing the internet bloggers and independent news networks have in common it is conspiracy theories—from alien abductions and secret underground government laboratories to ultra-secret global control networks and political assassinations.

So it is perfectly understandable that when a reader hears about one of the alleged crimes of the Vatican and its organs—such as the Jesuits, the Franciscans and others—it can easily seem as just another in a long line of "unfounded claims", "hoaxes" and "grudges" against religion and the Catholic Church.

Sometimes it is the complexity of the story itself that makes it hard to understand, or believe—like when the people involved in the alleged evil event lived fifty, one hundred or even one thousand years ago. It also makes it hard when the story involves talking about former countries and empires with strange sounding names and customs.

It is why rare mainstream news phenomena such as the global media coverage of the Vatican's deliberate cover-up of organized international rings of child molesting priests, particularly in western countries—such as the United States, Europe, Canada and Australia – is so important. It proves without a doubt that the Vatican does not always behave in the best interests of the community, and in particular for its own faithful—the Catholic families who entrusted their children into the care of Priests and Nuns—only to find years later that their children had been molested.

Yet for all that is said and written about the Vatican being home to a dangerous "parasitic" cult—and the epicenter of a global network of evil for nearly 1,000 years—the proof for many people often seems farfetched, convoluted and unproven.

For one thing such claims appear to contradict the fact that the Catholic Church is involved in important charitable work, in health care, in education and vital overseas aid across the world. Many millions of ordinary Catholics are employed and continue to volunteer to help make their communities and the world a better place. In addition, there are many examples over the years under Pope John Paul II and now Pope Benedict XVI where the Vatican has made public statements against evil, against war, violence and terror. So how possibly could such an organization that invests so much time, money and resources into seemingly “helping” the world be simultaneously the “epicenter of evil”?

The evidence is amply clear and unmistakable proof that the Vatican is Evil and that it has been the epicenter and controller of global networks of evil for nearly a thousand years. Furthermore that this proof has been “hidden in plain sight” for anyone to see for at least seventy years. Finally, that the apparent contradiction of the Catholic Church doing so much good and yet the Vatican being the epicenter of Evil can easily be explained when one understands that the people who control the Vatican belong to a cult known as the “Roman Cult” and have absolutely nothing to do with the founding of the Catholic Church or the beliefs of ordinary good Catholics.

Proof # 1 – The evil religious ritual word known as “Holocaust”

The word Holocaust is the oldest theologically correct term still used in relation to the official doctrine of satanists in the burning of people alive. The word “Holocaust” is at least as old as the 3rd Century B.C. and comes from the ancient Greek word “holokauston”, meaning “a completely (holos) burnt (kaustos) sacrificial offering”, or “a burnt sacrifice offered to God”.

The term has always meant the specific ritual of murdering innocent human beings as animals by burning as defined by the ancient Phoenician/Samaritan/Sadducee/Punic religion to Moloch and his name variances. Because these priest-king family bloodlines have traditionally considered all other human beings as “sheep and cattle”, the word Holocaust is frequently and deliberately misrepresented as “only applying to animals”.

The word Holocaust fell out of general use for almost 1,400 years until it was famously resurrected by Pope Pius XII, the Vatican and the Roman Cult after 1948 as the official and legal description of mass human sacrifice by the burning of over 18 million innocent people in Russia and Poland. To ensure the word is continued to be used, the Vatican and Jesuits ensured that laws were introduced to make “Holocaust Denial” a crime—in other words, to deny that the 18 million innocents of World War II were not burnt alive as a sacrifice (to Moloch) is a criminal offence. In recent years, the Vatican has funded the Holocaust Denial movement to ensure the word remains one of the most controversial and evil labels still in use.

The Hebrew word “Tophet” is also an ancient and important religious word used by the Sarmatian (Jewish) Priests to describe the actual location of their sacred ceremonies where they burnt “heretics” and innocents. The word means “burning place” exclusively in reference to human sacrifice.

The controversy surrounding this word is twofold—firstly, the word is no older than the 9th Century BCE and secondly, the most frequent reference is to be found in the heavily revised “Holy Bible” and “Jewish Talmud” scriptures.

The word appears to have been particularly important to the Sarmatian Jewish priests until the destruction of their homeland in the 6th Century by Emperor Justinian and the outlawing (by death) of the religion of Sarmatianism.

The Latin word Immolate (Immolatus/Immolare) is a word created by AntiPope Innocent III (1198-1216) in his creation of the official doctrine of Satanism to religiously murder hundreds of thousands of “heretics” according to the revised liturgy of the Sarmatian (Jewish) practice of human sacrifice. The word means “to sacrifice, kill as a victim by fire” and is the most specific-purpose designed words to describe this kind of evil sacrifice.

While the exact etymology of the word is not clear, it almost certainly comes from the simple combination of IN+MOLOCH (“to Moloch”). Since the creation of this religious word of power, it remains the official “legal” term used to describe all people who are killed by fire—therefore “offered up to Moloch” by simple use of the term.

The Hebrew word “Shoah” which means “annihilation, cataclysm, natural catastrophe” is neither an official religious word associated with human sacrifice by burning, nor an old association. The word Shoah was first used in 1948 in rejection of the language used by Pope Pius XII and the Vatican to describe their mass murder of 18 million people by fire as The Holocaust.

Since most educated Jewish scholars understand the religious significance of the word “Holocaust”, the word Shoah was selected in opposition to the unrelenting evil behavior of the Roman Cult and Vatican. Unfortunately, the word is rarely understood and not widely used in replacement to the word “Holocaust”.

So the fact that it is the Vatican that resurrected this supremely evil word and it is the Vatican that continues to insist this word is used proves that the Vatican is involved (at least to some degree) in dark and evil practices—by the insistence of the world using its satanic language.

Proof # 2 – The evil religious ritual word known as “Molest” and “Molestation”

The words Molestation/Molest come from the 14th Century religious term “Mollista” created from Moll (from Latin Mollis meaning “soft, weak, young child/boy) and Ista (Latin suffix used to indicate adherence to a certain doctrine or custom).

The original official and religious meaning of Molest (Mollista) is “the adherence to the doctrines and customs (of the Roman Cult) concerning the soft, weak, and young child/boys.”

The common definitions ascribed to “molest”—from late Latin molestus meaning “troublesome,

disagreeable, annoying” are deliberately misleading and designed to hide the religious origin and religious significance of the word.

For example, the Latin words ‘laedo ledo’ which mean “strike, hit, hurt, damage, offend, annoy, violate” were in historic use for hundreds of years to defined the exact same claimed meanings of molestus –defying a rational explanation why a new word like “mollista” (molestus) was needed, unless it had a different implied meaning.

To add to the confusion, the word Molestation was again altered in its apparent “common” meaning by being introduced into Scottish law by 1456 to mean “the harassing of a person in his possession or occupation of lands” as well as English common law as “injury inflicted upon another.”

In spite of the deliberate efforts to confuse both the origin and key original religious meaning of the word “Molest”, there exists strong evidence of a second important meaning of the word in relation to the common meaning of Moll in the 14th Century onwards.

In England, the word Moll by the 16th Century became a common euphemism for “criminal” and prostitutes became commonly known as “Molls”—the claim it is a shortened version of Mary a ridiculous diversion.

However, prior to the word Moll becoming associated openly with the notion of criminals, it appears the word was used at least from the 13th Century as a shortened version of the name of Moloch and actions undertaken in the name of Moloch, or “Molls”.

This gives us then a second important and credible religious meaning associated to the word “Molest” being “the adherence to the doctrines and customs (of the Roman Cult) concerning the soft, weak, and young child/boys and a sacrifice to Moloch.”

The term Pedophilia (first recorded in 1951) is a modern term created from the Greek words (gen. paidos) “child” (see pedo-) + philos “loving.”

Contrary to public belief, the term Pedophilia has the unfortunate literal meaning of “loving children”, than the criminal action of child abuse. While Pedophilia has absolutely no religious significance as a word, its continued use as a term to describe child molestation and child abuse is misleading—implying those branded as “pedophiles” have some emotional empathy towards their victims (implied by philes/philos-love).

To date, the Roman Cult, otherwise known as the Vatican is the only organization in history to orchestrate as a “sacred” religious ceremony the systematic and widespread encouragement of its clergy to abuse children from as late as the 14th Century.

The physical and mental abuse of tens of millions of children for 700 years by the clergy of the

Vatican is the largest unbroken “child abuse ring” of all time, still in complete operation today.

The motivation for such evil remains the dedication of the innocence of children to the demon god of sacrifice - Moloch either consciously or unconsciously by the Roman Catholic and some Christian clergy.

Due to the lack of understanding of religious terms and the true meaning of words, some parents with children under the care of Catholic clergy mistakenly believe that the Roman Cult of the Vatican have openly repudiated the “sacred act” of molestation—a false assumption.

The Vatican – always precise with their words—has condemned pedophilia which is a modern term and has absolutely nothing to do with the ancient worship of Moloch, nor the religious term molest/molestation.

No parent who values the sanctity of the innocence of their children should ever believe that their children are safe in the hands of Catholic Clergy until the Roman Cult and Vatican is disbanded. Until that day, all children in the care of Catholic Clergy remain at extreme risk throughout the world from ongoing, encouraged molestation.

Proof # 3 – “Nazi” means knight, “SS” stands for Sedes Sacrorum, the “Holy See”

1933 marks the first year the religious word Nazi (from Hebrew Nasi meaning “Knight”) was used as the official new name of the NDSAP in government controlled by Adolf Hitler.

It is frequently and incorrectly claimed that the word “Nazi” comes from the haphazard extraction of letters from the first word of the name of the NSDAP – NAtionalsoZialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei to produce a simple abbreviation. This explanation is patently false as the NSDAP already has a perfectly good and well known abbreviation- NSDAP! The word “Nazi” appeared only after Hitler assumed power invited by Franz Von Papen for an entirely different reason.

The word Nazi/Nasi dates back to the time of the Sanhedrin councils of Palestine first formed by the Romans in the 1st Century B.C. To members of modern Judaism, the Nasi were the appointed spiritual leaders of the Sanhedrin as opposed to the temporal leadership of the High Priest of the Main Temple. While there is some uncertainty as to the credibility of all the claimed history of the office of Nasi and the bloodline of Rabbinical Scholars of the House of Hillel, there is no doubt the position existed at some point.

Another one of several absurd mythologies accepted by eminent historians and academics is the proposition that the Schutzstaffel (German for “Squadron” and the same concept as the Italian “Blackshirt Squadrons” of Catholic Mussolini) was formed in 1925 as the personal bodyguard of Hitler following his release from prison.

Some audacious writers have even “revised history” to claim the Schutzstaffel (frequently cut in

half to try and get two S's out of the single word for squadron) had already started to use the SS and skull and bones symbols, including calling their head the Reichführer-SS and the Roman Salute (straight arm) to their allegiance to the Vatican, Rome.

The ridiculous nature of these lies are easily exposed when the facts are considered that Hitler's main claim to fame in 1925 was as a book writer and budding political philosopher, surrounded by a tight group of individuals each providing key skills such as Rudolf Hess-personal private secretary, Ernst Hanfstaengl-media, Hans Frank-Lawyer and Julius Schreck-personal security. Furthermore, the NDSAP was a publicly banned organization until May 1927.

As stated, two S's cannot logically be extracted from the word Schutzstaffel simply means "Squadron". The significance of the use of the SS symbol by the elite of Himmler's forces after he personally attended the signing of the Reich Concordat with the Vatican in 1933 is frequently ignored.

Prior to its use by Himmler, the symbols SS were most frequently and officially used as the abbreviation of Sedes Sacrorum or the legal name of the Vatican being the "Holy See" (Latin Sedes = seat/see and Sacrorum = Holy/Sacred) since the 16th Century as a sign of imprimatur over official Vatican documents.

It is either an extraordinary coincidence that Himmler and his elite began wearing the SS symbol as Reichführer immediately after the signing of the Reich Concordat in 1933 with the SS- the Sedes Sacrorum, the Holy See. Given the four hundred year precedent of SS being associated with the Holy See, it is not unreasonable to conclude that the wearing of the symbols is associated with some as yet unpublished spiritual/temporal powers bestowed on the SS Troops by the SS- Holy See.

When one considers that Nazi SS translates most perfectly into the meaning "Knights of the Holy See", that the role of Himmler best translates into the new Grand Inquisitor and that over 18 million innocent people were burned alive in human sacrifice camps in Poland and Russia, then the SS were without doubt the new "Holy Army" of a great inquisition against "heretics" orchestrated by the Vatican, Rome.

Proof # 4 – The largest satanic symbol ever created was in WWII with the "worst human sacrifice camps" in Poland and Russia

It would be crazy to think someone could try and hide the Great Wall of China right? It is thousands of miles long and famously was one of the distinct man-made objects that could be seen from space. So how about a 300 mile wide pentagram in the perfect ancient shape of the Pentagram of Mendes, the goat's head- the geometric symbol of black magic? Sound's impossible? In fact, the pentagram exists to this day and can easily be plotted on any map.

You can still easily plot this Pentagram for yourself today by simply calling up any map of Poland.

1. First, find Pulawy on a Map—the Palace and Temple to Cybele is located just to the South West of the town itself.
2. Now go directly up and stop just south-East of Ostrow—this is the top tip of the Pentagram and the site for Treblinka Human Sacrifice Camp.
3. Now continue to travel down South -East—past Pulawy until you find the town in Orthodox Ukraine called L’viv. Due west of this town was the Janowska Human Sacrifice Camp—frequently misrepresented as merely a labor camp.
4. Now travel west until you travel past Krakow until just above the town of Bielsko-Biala. This was the site of the massive Auschwitz Human Sacrifice Camp.
5. Now travel north until you find the town of Lodz. This was the site of the only human sacrifice camp dedicated purely to children- the Lodz Human Sacrifice Camp.
6. Finally, travel east again until you find the small town of Wlodawa—almost on the border of the Ukraine—this was the site of the Sobibor Human Sacrifice Camp.

In addition to the worst human sacrifice camps of WWII being the points of a 300 mile satanic pentagram, when the lines are extended out, they connect up some of the most important cities for the Roman Cult controlling the Vatican.

1. The “Ley Line” of evil running North-East to South-West from Treblinka Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp, south to Auschwitz Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp connects St. Petersburg through the outskirts of Zagreb and through the heart of Rome and the Vatican itself!
2. The “Ley Line” of evil running North-West through Treblinka Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp, south to Janowska Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp connects Stockholm to Bucharest to Suez (Zeus backwards)—the ancient Zion.
3. The “Ley line” of evil running West to East from Lodz Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp to Sobibor Vatican Jesuit Sacrifice Camp connects Dublin, then Hannover to Belgorod in Russia.
4. The “Ley line” of evil running North West from Lodz Vatican- Jesuit Sacrifice Camp and down South East past the Janowska Vatican Jesuit Sacrifice Camp connects the Shetland Islands to Odessa to Tehran.
5. Last but not least, the “Ley line” of evil running North East from Sobibor Vatican Jesuit Sacrifice Camp south-west through Auschwitz Vatican Jesuit Sacrifice Camp cuts through the heart of Bordeaux, Zürich, Munich and connects up to Nizhny Novgorod .

If the ley lines and shape of the pentagram is even changed by one degree of latitude, or longitude, the ley lines have no meaning. It is only when the Nazi death camps that form the

pentagram are placed exactly as they were historically located that the pentagram has power.

Like any virus or cancer, the Roman Cult is a parasite attacking the body of the world

While this article unfortunately has required a fair number of words to expose four indisputable facts "hidden in plain sight" that prove the Vatican is Evil, the evidence speaks for itself.

Once a reader or listener understands that we are discussing a parasite –like any virus or cancer—that lives off the good will and kindness of ordinary Catholics, then hopefully they understand that in exposing the Vatican as the epicenter of evil in no way reflects negatively against the Catholic Church, Catholics and Christians in general.

The Roman Cult is the parasite, not the Catholic Church. The Roman Cult is responsible for much of the evil in the world for the past 1,000 years including now the global recession that is threatening to become a global depression.

Hopefully once good people wake up to the fact that when we discuss the Vatican we are talking about a criminal conspiracy involving just a few thousand people who consider themselves the elite rulers of this world—not the hundreds of millions of good Catholics and Catholic clergy—then these people will finally be exposed. Once exposed, we can start to work on a cure.

A few segments ago, I addressed the many similarities between Catholicism and Islam. In shining the light of truth on the history and connections between these two satanic cults, I have come across additional areas that further align these two pagan religions. The following list of similarities raises serious concern that Bible-believing Christians should be mindful of as Pope Francis seeks to sell multiculturalism and his hidden agenda.

Similarities Between Islam and Catholicism

The Roman Catholic Church and Islam Connection

1. Both The Roman Catholic Church and Islam believe that only they are the one true church/religion.
2. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam require mandatory fasting.
3. The Roman Catholic Church worships, prays to and gives titles of God to Mary. Islam highly esteems Mary and she is mentioned 34 times in the Qu'ran.

"Even the infidel Muhammed glorifies Mary in his Koran, saying, 'The angels shall say to Maryam, "Allah has chosen thee; he has made thee exempt from all stain!" The Marian

(Roman Catholic) Bible

4. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam base their salvation on a complicated system of works and deny salvation by faith alone. There is no assurance of salvation in either religion.

5. Both cults deny the Biblical view of the Atonement. The Roman Catholic Church doctrine dictates that one must go to Purgatory to have his sins purged, Jesus just paved the way. The Muslims believe their works will save them but will not know until Judgment Day. This is because there is no atonement for sin, and God's grace does not exist.

6. The Roman Catholic Church claims visions and apparitions in an effort to add false doctrine. Muhammad claimed to be purified as a child by angels. He claimed to receive revelations from Archangel Gabriel.

7. The Roman Catholic Church uses a rosary for their prayers, Islam uses prayer beads. The rosary/prayer beads are concepts taken from paganism.

8. The Pope is the vicar or substitute of Christ for the Roman Catholic Church. In Islam, Muhammad is called the last and final Messenger of God, the successor to Jesus.

9. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam have their own divorce system. The Roman Catholic Church grants annulments and Islam allows a man to divorce his wife by telling her she is divorced.

10. Islam rejects the Trinity doctrine, the Deity of Christ, salvation by grace through faith and teaches that Christians should be killed. However, the Roman Catholic Church accepts them and believes that they are offered salvation because of their faith in Abraham.

11. Both church organizations believe that leaving their church will result in eternal damnation.

12. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam share a hatred for the Jews.

13. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam base some of their doctrine on pagan traditions. For The Roman Catholic Church the traditions of a goddess, rosary, pope, relics, purgatory, transubstantiation, praying to dead people, and idol worship are all based on paganism. The Allah of Islam was actually a pagan god, and not even the major deity.

14. The Roman Catholic Church and Islam have added books to support false doctrine. The Roman Catholic Church has added the Apocrypha and Islam the Qu'ran.

15. The Roman Catholic Church priest system (including Bishops) has a history of sexual

abuse of women and children. Islam has a history of (including Muhammad) sexual abuse of women and children.

16. Both religions believe in using FORCE to spread their version of the one true god to the unbelievers.

17. Both religions believe in a union with the state or of using the police powers of the state to compel obedience to their tenets.

18 Both religions have a "holy" shrine in which the believers are taught to look to as their headquarters

The preceding comparison of some of the beliefs shared in common by Catholicism and Islam, helps us to understand why the pope is working toward a new partnership with Muslims by acknowledging the commonality between their respective faiths. We have seen that the basis for such partnership is not merely a generic view of God, but a similar autocratic form of church government, as well as similar beliefs in such areas as the role of good works in salvation, the intercessory role of human agents, the immortality of the soul, the coercive methods of evangelism, and the vision of purgatory and hell.

The commonality of certain beliefs and practices between Catholicism and Islam, encourages us now to explore the past and future prophetic role these two powers. Some may feel that this lengthy discussion has detracted from the study of Islam and the Papacy in prophecy. I would disagree. A basic understanding of the two religious systems is essential to understand what we are about to study, namely, their prophetic roles as two manifestations of the Antichrist.

I stated in the previous segment that few people alive today are aware of the impending danger that hovers over the world. The Roman Catholic Church, headquartered in Rome, Italy, has its own powerful City-State, the Vatican, and claims over 968 million members worldwide and 60 million in the U.S. and Canada. Catholic membership figures are considerably misleading, though, in that they count as members every person who has been baptized Catholic, including millions of people who were baptized as infants but who are not practicing Catholics. Unofficially, Roman Catholicism came into being in 312 A.D., at the time of the so-called "miraculous conversion" to Christianity of the Roman Emperor Constantine. From 312 A.D. until his death in 337 A.D., Constantine was engaged in the process of simultaneously building pagan temples and Christian churches and was slowly turning over the reins of his pagan priesthood to the Bishop of Rome. Christianity was not made the official religion of the Roman Empire until the edicts of Theodosius I in 380 and 381 A.D. However, the family of Constantine did not give up the last vestige of his priesthood until after the disintegration of the Roman Empire -- that being the title the emperors bore as heads of the pagan priesthood -- Pontifex Maximus -- a title which the popes would inherit. (The popes also inherited Constantine's titles as the self-appointed civil head of the church -- Vicar of Christ and Bishop of Bishops.)

Prior to the time of Constantine's "conversion," Christians were persecuted not so much for their profession of faith in Christ, but because they would not include pagan deities in their faith as well. Then, with Constantine's emphasis on making his new-found Christianity palatable to the heathen in the Empire, the "Christianization" of these pagan deities was facilitated. For example, pagan rituals and idols gradually took on Christian meanings and names and were incorporated into "Christian" worship (e.g., "saints" replaced the cult of pagan gods in both worship and as patrons of cities; mother/son statues were renamed Mary and Jesus; etc.), and pagan holidays were reclassified as Christian holy days (e.g., the Roman Lupercalia and the feast of purification of Isis became the Feast of the Nativity; the Saturnalia celebrations were replaced by Christmas celebrations; an ancient festival of the dead was replaced by All Souls Day, rededicated to Christian heroes [now Halloween]; etc.). A transition had occurred -- instead of being persecuted for failure to worship pagan deities, Christians who did not agree with the particular orthodoxy backed by the Emperor were now persecuted in the name of Christ! "Christianized" Rome had become the legitimate successor of pagan Rome! This is the sad origin of the Roman Catholic Church.

Below are the highlights of what Catholics believe concerning their source of authority; God, Christ, and Mary; salvation and the sacraments; and heaven and hell. So much more could be said concerning not only the items listed below, but also concerning other areas of Catholic teaching (such as the claims of the Roman priesthood and its supposed origin in the Apostles; the nature of the pope's alleged infallibility and the origin of his office in the Apostle Peter; the nature of the Confessional; the doctrine of penance/indulgences; practices concerning rituals, ceremonies, and relics; the doctrine of Celibacy; policies on marriage and divorce; the role of the parochial school; etc.). Excellent reference sources for a thorough treatment of Catholicism's origins, beliefs, and practices would be '*Roman Catholicism*' and '*A Woman Rides the Beast*' by the late Dave Hunt, host of the website 'The Berean Call'.

1. Source of Authority. With respect to the Bible, Catholics accept the apocryphal books in addition to the 66 books of the Protestant Bible. They also accept tradition and the teaching of the Catholic Church as authoritative and at least equal to that of the Bible -(cf. Mark. 7:8, 9, 13; Matthew. 15:3, 6, 9; Colossians. 2:8). With respect to papal infallibility, Catholics believe that ecumenical councils of bishops and the pope are immune from error when speaking *ex cathedra* about faith and morals (i.e., "from the chair" -- by sole virtue of position or the exercise of an office). (And by "infallible," Catholics mean much more than merely a simple, *de facto* absence of error -- it is positive *perfection*, ruling out the *possibility* of error. In actuality, Roman Catholicism places itself above Scripture; i.e., it teaches that the Roman Catholic Church produced the Bible and that the pope is Christ's vicar on earth. Catholics also maintain the belief in sacerdotalism -- that an ordained Catholic priest has the power to forgive sins -(cf. 1 Timothy 2:5). This of course is a false teaching because no one can forgive sin other than God Himself -(Mark 2:7). The Word of God is the ONLY true source of truth, not church tradition.

2. Jesus Christ. Catholicism teaches that Christ is God, but they, nevertheless, do not believe that Christ's death paid the *full* penalty for sin; i.e., they believe that those who qualify for

heaven must still spend time in purgatory to atone for sin -(cf. John. 19:30; Hebrews 10:11,12). There is nothing taught in the Bible about purgatory. Catholics diminish Christ's deity (as do other cults), but in a different manner; instead of bringing Christ low by denying his deity, Catholics elevate Mary high in an attempt to make her equal with Christ, this is heresy.

3. Mary. The Catholic Church gives honor and adoration to Mary that the Scriptures do not; she is readily referred to as "holy," the "Mother of God," and has been dubbed the "Co-Redemptrix," thereby making her an object of idolatrous worship (e.g., the rosary has ten prayers to Mary for each two directed to God). In 1923, Pope Pius XI sanctioned Pope Benedict XV's (1914-1922) pronouncement that Mary suffered with Christ, and that with Him, she redeemed the human race. And Pope Pius XII officially designated Mary the "Queen of Heaven" and "Queen of the World." Catholics claim not only that Mary was perfectly sinless from conception, even as Jesus was (doctrine of Immaculate Conception, proclaimed by Pope Pius IX in 1854), but that the reason she never sinned at any time during her life was because she was *unable* to sin -(cf. Luke 1:46, 47; Romans. 3:10, 23; 5:12; Hebrews. 4:15; 1 John 1:8, 10). Catholics also believe that Mary was a perpetual virgin -(cf. Psalms. 69:8; Matthew. 1:24, 25; 13:54-56; Mark. 6:3; John 7:5), and that she was assumed, body and soul, into heaven (doctrine of Assumption of Mary, declared *ex cathedra* by Pope Pius XII in November of 1950 -- that Mary was raised from the dead on the third day after her death, and anyone who refuses to believe this has committed a mortal sin). The consequence of all this veneration of Mary, in effect, establishes her authority *above* Christ's -- Rome says, "He came to us through Mary and we must go to Him through her." All this is so obviously idolatrous, one wonders why Catholics take offense when their religious affections are called cultic. Exodus 20:4, 5 (the Ten Commandments) strictly forbids bowing down to any likeness of anything in heaven (this includes Mary). It's the 2nd command, read it for yourself! It's the same Scripture found in the Catholic Bible, so why do they disobey God?

4. Salvation. Catholics teach that a person is saved through the Roman Catholic Church and its sacraments, especially through baptism; they do not believe that salvation can be obtained by grace through faith in Christ *alone*, but that baptism is essential to salvation. Catholics believe that no one outside the Catholic Church can be saved (*Unum Sanctum*) (cf. John 5:24; Ephesians 2: 8, 9; Galatians 2:21; Romans 3:22, 23). They also believe that one's own suffering can atone for the sins of one's self *and* of others. This means that Christ's suffering was not sufficient to achieve redemption and one can accomplish it by one's own works and the works of others (Vatican II). These are all lies of the Catholic Church! The thief on the cross was never baptized. The Apostle Paul said Jesus had not sent him to baptize, but to preach the gospel - (1st Corinthians 1:17). Jesus didn't baptize.

5. Sacraments. Catholics have seven sacraments: baptism, confirmation, Eucharist (mass), penance/reconciliation (indulgences), extreme unction (last rights), marriage, and orders (ordination), although not even formally decreed until the Council of Florence in 1439. The Council of Trent later declared all to be anathema who do not hold Rome's position that it was Christ Himself who instituted these seven sacraments! (The idea behind the sacraments is that the shedding of Christ's Blood in His death upon the cross is of no value unless it is somehow

dispensed and applied "sacramentally" by the Catholic priesthood.) Catholics believe that the first five sacraments are indispensable for salvation (because without any one of them, a mortal sin has been committed); baptism is considered the most important. Catholics believe that a person enters into the spiritual life of the Church through baptism; i.e., baptismal regeneration -- that a person can be saved through baptism (actually, 'on the road to salvation,' because Catholics never know exactly when they are saved). They practice infant baptism because they believe baptism erases original sin -(cf. John 3:18). Titus 3:5 makes clear that we cannot be saved by works, "**Not by works of righteousness...**" There are NO sacraments taught in the Bible. Nothing is essential for our salvation other than simple child-like faith in the Lord Jesus Christ as personal Savior to forgive our sins.

6. The Mass. Unknown in the early church, the mass did not become an official doctrine until pronounced by the Lateran Council of 1215 A.D. under the direction of Pope Innocent III, and reaffirmed by the Council of Trent. The Church of Rome holds that the mass is a continuation of the sacrifice that Christ made on Calvary -- in effect a re-crucifixion of Christ over and over again in an unbloody manner -(cf. Hebrews 9:22; 1 John 1:7). They believe that by this means Christ offers Himself again and again as a sacrifice for sin -(cf. Hebrews 7:27; 9:12, 25, 26; 10:10, 12, 14, 18), and that this sacrifice is just as efficacious to take away sin as was the true sacrifice on Calvary. Catholics thus teach the doctrine of *transubstantiation* (meaning a change of substance) -- that the bread and wine (at communion) actually *become* (by the power of the priest!) the body and blood of Christ, which is then worshiped as God Himself! Indeed, the sacrifice of the mass is *the* central point of Catholic worship, as evidenced by the fact that those abstaining from attending mass are considered to have committed a mortal sin. Of course, Holy Communion is not taught in the Bible. The Bible teaches the "Lord's supper" which was simply an ordinance that Christ initiated for believers to do in remembrance of Him, no more. There is nothing magical about the Lord's Supper. Nothing changes into anything. It is simply a time to remember Christ in an official church manner, Jesus is NOT dying again. The book of Hebrews tells us repeatedly that Jesus died "once" for all, and not every Sunday.

7. Purgatory. Of pagan origin, the Roman Church proclaimed purgatory as an article of faith in 1439 at the Council of Florence, and it was confirmed by Trent in 1548. The Catholic Church teaches that even those "who die in the state of grace" (i.e., saved and sins forgiven) must still spend an indefinite time being purged/purified (i.e., expiated of sins/cleansed for heaven). Technically, this "purging" can occur in this life rather than in purgatory itself, but as a practical matter, purgatory is the best the average Catholic can hope for. Some Catholics will admit that the doctrine of purgatory is not based on the Bible, but on Catholic tradition, which, by Catholic standards, is equally authoritative. -(cf. John 5:24; Luke 23:43; 1 John 1:7, 9; Philippians 1:23). Others teach that it is based upon the interpretation of several Scriptural texts -- (1 Corinthians 3:15; 1 Peter 1:7; 3:19; Matthew. 12:31). They teach that those in purgatory can be helped by the prayers and good works of those on earth (which would include the "purchase" of masses and/or other indulgences), but they are not certain how these prayers and works are applied (cf. 2 Peter 1:9; Hebrews 1:3; John 3:18; 19:30; 2 Corinthians 5:6-8).

8. The Church Councils. There have been three major Roman Catholic Councils: Council of Trent (1545-1563), Vatican I (1869-1870), and Vatican II (1962-1965). The last Council, Vatican II, offered no new doctrines nor repudiated any essential teaching of the Roman Church; it referred to Trent dozens and dozens of times, quoted Trent's proclamations as authority, and reaffirmed Trent on every hand. Even the New Catholic Catechism (1992/1994) cites Trent no less than 99 times! There is not the slightest hint that the proclamations of the Council of Trent have been abrogated by Rome. At the opening of the Second Vatican council, Pope John XXIII stated, "*I do accept entirely all that has been decided and declared at the Council of Trent,*" and all of the Catholic leaders who attended Vatican II signed a document containing this statement.

Council of Trent -- The Council of Trent was held in an attempt to destroy the progress of the Protestant Reformation; it approved many superstitious and unbiblical beliefs of the Middle Ages (all to be believed under the threat of "anathema"):

- (a) Denied every doctrine of the Reformation, from *Sola Scriptura* to "salvation by grace through faith alone"
- (b) Pronounced 125 anathemas (i.e., eternal damnation) upon anyone believing what evangelicals believe and preach today
- (c) Equal value and authority of tradition and Scripture (in actuality, tradition is held above Scripture)
- (d) Scriptures for the priesthood only (prohibited to anyone in the laity without written permission from one's superior -- to violate this was [and still is in most "Catholic countries" today] considered a mortal sin)
- (e) Seven sacraments
- (f) Communion by eating the bread only (*not* drinking the wine)
- (g) Purgatory
- (h) Indulgences
- (i) The Mass as a propitiatory offering

Vatican I

- (a) Defined the infallibility of the pope
- (b) Confirmed *Unum Sanctum* (no salvation outside of the Catholic Church)

Vatican II - made *no new* doctrines, nor did it change or repudiate any old ones; Trent and Vatican I stand as is (i.e., Vatican II verified and validated all the anathemas of Trent). Vatican II reaffirmed such Roman heresies as papal supremacy; the Roman priesthood; the mass as an

unbloody sacrifice of Christ; a polluted sacramental gospel; Catholic tradition on equal par with Scripture; Mary as the Queen of Heaven and co-Redemptrix with Christ; auricular confession; Mariolatry; pilgrimages to "holy shrines"; purgatory; prayers to and for the dead; etc. Although the restriction against laity reading the Scriptures has been removed, it is still a mortal sin for a Roman Catholic *anywhere* to read *any* Protestant version of the Bible. That the real attitude of the Vatican toward the Bible has not changed is shown by the fact that in 1957 the depot of the British and Foreign Bible Society in Madrid, Spain was closed and its stock of Bibles confiscated and burned.

(a) Reaffirmed the infallibility of the pope (and even when he does not speak *ex-cathedra*, all Catholics must still give complete submission of mind and will to what he says);

(b) Divided Catholic doctrine into that which is essential core of theology, and must be received by faith, and that which is still an undefined body of theology which Catholics may question and debate without repudiating their essential Catholicism;

(c) Established 20 complex rules concerning when and how any indulgence may be obtained, and condemned "with anathema those who say that indulgences are useless or that the Church does not have the power to grant them ... [for] the task of winning salvation."

A Sampling of the Anathemas (curses) of Trent:

If anyone shall deny that the body and blood together with the soul and divinity of our Lord Jesus Christ, and therefore entire Christ, are truly, really, and substantially contained in the sacrament of the most holy Eucharist; and shall say that He is only in it as a sign, or in a figure, or virtually -- let him be accursed (Canon 1).

If anyone shall say that the substance of the bread and wine remains in the sacrament of the most holy Eucharist, together with the body and blood of our Lord Jesus Christ, and shall deny that wonderful and singular conversion of the whole substance of the bread into the body, and of the whole substance of the wine into the blood, the outward forms of the bread and wine still remaining, which conversion the Catholic Church most aptly calls transubstantiation -- let him be accursed (Canon 2).

If any man shall say that Christ, the only begotten Son of God, is not to be adored in the holy sacrament of the Eucharist, even with the open worship of latria, and therefore not to be venerated with any peculiar festal celebrity, nor to be solemnly carried about in processions according to the praiseworthy, and universal rites and customs of the holy Church, and that he is not to be publicly set before the people to be adored, and that his adorers are idolaters -- let him be accursed (Canon 6).

If anyone shall say that the ungodly man is justified by faith only so as to understand that nothing else is required that may cooperate to obtain the grace of justification, and that it is in no wise necessary for him to be prepared and disposed by the motion of his own will ... let him be accursed (Canon 9).

If anyone shall say that justifying faith is nothing else than confidence in the divine mercy pardoning sins for Christ's sake, or that it is that confidence alone by which we are justified ... let him be accursed (Canon 12).

These traditions which began at the *Council of Trent* are all heresies, NOT based upon the Word of God! Catholicism is a pagan religion and far, far from the truth of God's Word. They have churchianity without Christianity!

**LIST OF CATHOLIC HERESIES & HUMAN TRADITIONS
ADOPTED & PERPETUATED by the ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH
IN THE COURSE OF 1600 YEARS**

(Compiled by Rev. Stephen L. Testa)

“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.” —Jesus in John 8:32

Notice: These dates are in many cases approximate. Many of these heresies had been current in the Church years before, but only when they were officially adopted by a Church council and proclaimed by the pope as dogma of faith, did they become binding on Catholics. And doctrine to be true must conform to the Word of God. **“To the law and to the testimony; if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them.”** -(Isaiah 8:20).

At the Reformation in the 16th Century these heresies were repudiated as having no part in the Religion of Jesus as taught in the New Testament.

Heresy	Date
OF ALL THE HUMAN TRADITIONS taught and practiced by the Roman Catholic Church, which are contrary to the Bible, the most ancient are the prayers for the dead and the sign of the Cross . Both began 300 years after Christ.	310
Wax Candles introduced in church	320
Veneration of angels and dead saints	375
The Mass , as a daily celebration, adopted	394
The worship of Mary , the mother of Jesus, and the use of the term, "Mother of God", as applied to her, originated in the Council of Ephesus	431
Priests began to dress differently from the laity	500
Extreme Unction	526
The doctrine of Purgatory was first established by Gregory the Great	593

<p>The Latin language, as the language of prayer and worship in churches, was also imposed by Pope Gregory I. 600 years after Christ</p> <p>The Word of God forbids praying and teaching in an unknown tongue. (1st Corinthians 14:9).</p>	600
<p>The Bible teaches that we pray to God alone. In the primitive church never were prayers directed to Mary, or to dead saints. This practice began in the Roman Church.</p> <p>(Matthew 11:28; Luke 1:46; Acts 10:25-26; 14:14-18)</p>	600
<p>The Papacy is of pagan origin. The title of pope or universal bishop, was first given to the bishop of Rome by the wicked emperor Phocas.</p> <p>This he did to spite Bishop Ciriacus of Constantinople, who had justly excommunicated him for his having caused the assassination of his predecessor emperor Mauritianus. Gregory I, then bishop of Rome, refused the title, but his successor, Boniface III, first assumed title "pope."</p> <p>Jesus did not appoint Peter to the headship of the apostles and forbade any such notion. (Luke 22:24-26; Ephesians 1:22-23; Colossians 1:18; 1st Corinthians 3:11).</p> <p>Note: Nor is there any mention in Scripture, nor in history, that Peter ever was in Rome, much less that he was pope there for 25 years; Clement, 3rd bishop of Rome, remarks that "there is no real 1st century evidence that Peter ever was in Rome."</p>	610
<p>The kissing of the Pope's feet</p> <p>It had been a pagan custom to kiss the feet of emperors. The Word of God forbids such practices. (Read Acts 10:25-26; Revelation 19:10; 22:9).</p>	709
<p>The Temporal power of the Popes</p> <p>When Pepin, the usurper of the throne of France, descended into Italy, called by Pope Stephen II, to war against the Italian Lombards, he defeated them and gave the city of Rome and surrounding territory to the pope. Jesus expressly forbade such a thing, and He himself refused worldly kingship. (Read Matthew 4:8-9; 20:25-26; John 18:38).</p>	750
<p>Worship of the cross, images and relics was authorized</p> <p>This was by order of Dowager Empress Irene of Constantinople, who first caused to pluck the eyes of her own son, Constantine VI, and then called a church council at the request of Hadrian I, pope of Rome at that time.</p> <p>Such practice is called simply IDOLATRY in the Bible, and is severely condemned. (Read Exodus 20:4; 3:17; Deuteronomy 27:15; Psalm 115).</p>	788

Holy Water , mixed with a pinch of salt and blessed by the priest, was authorized	850
The veneration of St. Joseph began	890
The baptism of bells was instituted by Pope John XIV	965
Canonization of dead saints , first by Pope John XV Every believer and follower of Christ is called saint in the Bible. (Read Romans 1:7; 1st Colossians 1:2).	995
Fasting on Fridays and during Lent were imposed Imposed by popes said to be interested in the commerce of fish. (Bull, or permit to eat meat), some authorities say, began in the year 700. This is against the plain teaching of the Bible. (Read Matthew 15:10; 1st Corinthians 10:25; 1st Timothy 4:1-3).	998
The Mass was developed gradually as a sacrifice; attendance made obligatory in the 11th century. The Bible teaches that the sacrifice of Christ was offered once and for all, and is not to be repeated, but only commemorated in the Lord's Supper. (Read Hebrews 7:27; 9:26-28; 10:10-14).	
The celibacy of the priesthood was decreed by Pope Hildebrand, Boniface VII Jesus imposed no such rule, nor did any of the apostles. On the contrary, St. Peter was a married man, and St. Paul says that bishops were to have wife and children. (Read 1st Timothy 3:2, 5, and 12; Matthew 8:14-15).	1079
The Rosary , or prayer beads was introduced by Peter the Hermit, in the year 1090. Copied from Hindus and Mohammedans The counting of prayers is a pagan practice and is expressly condemned by Christ. (Matthew 6:5-13).	1090
The Inquisition of heretics was instituted by the Council of Verona in the year 1184. Jesus never taught the use of force to spread His religion	1184
The sale of Indulgences , commonly regarded as a purchase of forgiveness and a permit to indulge in sin. Christianity, as taught in the Bible, condemns such a traffic and it was the protest against this traffic that brought on the Protestant Reformation in the 16th century.	1190
The dogma of Transubstantiation was decreed by Pope Innocent III, in this year.	1215

By this doctrine the priest pretends to perform a daily miracle by changing a wafer into the body of Christ, and then he pretends to eat Him alive in the presence of his people during Mass. The Bible condemns such absurdities; for the Lord's Supper is simply a memorial of the sacrifice of Christ. The spiritual presence of Christ is implied in the Lord's Supper. (Read Luke 22:19-20; John 6:35; 1st Corinthians 11:26).	
Confession of sin to the priest at least once a year was instituted by Pope Innocent III., in the Lateran Council. The Bible commands us to confess our sins direct to God. (Read Psalm 51:1-10; Luke 7:48; 15:21; 1st John 1:8-9).	1215
The adoration of the wafer (Host), was decreed by Pope Honorius. So the Roman Church worships a God made by human hands. This is plain idolatry and absolutely contrary to the spirit of the Gospel. (Read John 4:24).	1220
The Bible forbidden to laymen and placed in the Index of forbidden books by the Council of Valencia. Jesus commanded that the Scriptures should be read by all. (John 5:39; 1st Timothy 3:15-17).	1229
The Scapular was invented by Simon Stock, an English monk. It is a piece of brown cloth, with the picture of the Virgin and supposed to contain supernatural virtue to protect from all dangers those who wear it on naked skin. This is fetishism.	1287
The Roman Church forbade the cup to the laity, by instituting the communion of one kind in the Council of Constance. The Bible commands us to celebrate the Lord's Supper with unleavened bread and the fruit of the vine. (Read Matthew 26:27; 1st Corinthians 11:26-29).	1414
The doctrine of Purgatory was proclaimed as a dogma of faith by Council of Florence. There is not one word in the Bible that would teach the purgatory of priests. The blood of Jesus Christ cleanseth us from all sins. (Read 1st John 1:7-9; 2:1-2; John 5:24; Romans 8:1).	1439
The doctrine of 7 Sacraments affirmed. The Bible says that Christ instituted only two ordinances, Baptism and the Lord's Supper. (Read Matthew 28:19-20; 26:26-28).	1439
The Ave Maria , part of the last	1508

It was completed 50 years afterward and finally approved by Pope Sixtus V, at the end of the 16th century..	
<p>The Council of Trent, held in the year 1545, declared that Tradition is of equal authority with the Bible.</p> <p>By tradition is meant human teachings. The Pharisees believed the same way, and Jesus bitterly condemned them, for by teaching human tradition, they nullified the commandments of God. (Read Mark 7:7-13; Colossians 2:8; Revelation 22:18).</p>	1545
<p>The apocryphal books were added to the Bible also by the Council of Trent.</p> <p>These books were not recognized as canonical by the Jewish Church. (See Revelation 22:8-9).</p>	1546
<p>The Creed of Pope Pius IV was imposed as the official creed 1560 years after Christ and the apostles.</p> <p>True Christians retain the Holy Scriptures as their creed. Hence their creed is 1500 years older than the creed of Roman Catholics. (Read Galatians 1:8).</p>	1560
<p>The Immaculate Conception of the Virgin Mary was proclaimed by Pope Pius IX.</p> <p>The Bible states that all men, with the sole exception of Christ, are sinners. Mary herself had need of a Savior. (Read Romans 3:23; 5:12; Psalm 51:5; Luke 1:30, 46, 47).</p>	1834
<p>In the year 1870 after Christ, Pope Pius IX proclaimed the dogma of Papal Infallibility.</p> <p>This is a blasphemy and the sign of the apostasy and of the antichrist predicted by St. Paul. (Read 2nd Thessalonians 2:2-12; Revelation 17:1-9; 13:5-8, 18).</p> <p>Many Bible students see the number of the beast (Rev. 13:18), 666 in the Roman letters of the Pope's title: "VICARIVS FILII DEI." -- V-5, I-1; C-100, I-1; V-S, I-1; L-50, I-1; I-1; D-500, I-I — Total, 666.</p>	1870
<p>Pope Plus X, in the year 1907, condemned together with "Modernism", all the discoveries of modern science which are not approved by the Church.</p> <p>Pius IX had done the same thing in the Syllabus of 1864.</p>	1907
In the year 1930 Pius XI, condemned the Public Schools .	1930
<p>In the year 1931 the same pope Pius XI, reaffirmed the doctrine that Mary is "the Mother of God".</p> <p>This doctrine was first invented by the Council of Ephesus in the year 431. This is a heresy contrary by Mary's own words. (Read Luke 1:46-49; John 2: 1-5).</p>	1931

In the year 1950 the last dogma was proclaimed by Pope Pius XII, the **Assumption of the Virgin Mary**.

1950

CONCLUSION

What will be the next invention? The Roman Church says it never changes; yet, it has done nothing else but to invent new doctrines which are contrary to the Bible, and has practiced rites and ceremonies taken bodily from paganism. **Some scholars found that 75% of the rites and ceremonies of the Roman Church are of pagan origin.**

Note: Cardinal Newman, in his book, *'The Development of the Christian Religion,'* admits that ... "Temples, incense, oil lamps, votive offerings, holy water, holidays and season of devotions, processions, blessing of fields, sacerdotal vestments, the tonsure (of priests and monks and nuns), images ... are all of pagan origin..." -(Page 359).

HERESIES are those doctrines and practices which are contrary to the Bible. They are also called "human traditions" or "doctrines of men". Both Peter and Paul predicted and warned that in the later times "false teachers" would rise within the Church and bring in "damnable heresies" and "doctrines of devils". -(Read 2nd Peter 2:1-3, and 1st Timothy 3:2-5). **Jesus rebuked the Pharisees, for they transgressed the commandments of God by keeping their traditions. "in vain," He said, "they worship me by keeping for doctrines the commandments of men"** -(Matthew 15:3,9).

The real heretics therefore, are the Roman Catholics and the true orthodox are not the Evangelical Christians. Strangely enough, they have morphed into Roman Catholicism today.

BRETHREN! The Word of God commands us to get out of Babylon, saying: **"Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues."** (Revelation 18:4). All true Christians will remain faithful to the religion of Christ as taught in the Bible, and heed the warning of the Apostle Paul, who said: **"But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other Gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed."** -(Galatians 1:8).

Pastor Bob

EvanTeachr@aol.com